1003

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/938,993

Opperthauser

Examiner

James F. Hook

Art Unit **375**2



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence	address	*1.2
	for Reply		ı		gi _n
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	_MONTH(S) FRO	M .	, Y
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply b	e timely filed after SIX (6)	MONTHS from the	
mailing If the s	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered	timely.	
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	om the mailing date of thi	s communication.	
- Fallure - Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	nis communication, even if timely	filed, may reduce any		·
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	Description of the Control of the Co	001		Comments of the comments	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 24, 2</u>		1		—·
2a) 📙	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti			e grande e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ه. د.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-28</u>		is/are pending	in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdra	awn from considera	ation.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			1,	
·				· .	٠.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u>				
7) 💢					
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject	to restriction and	or election require	ment.
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				•,
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b)	\square objected to by $^\circ$	the Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR	1.85(a).	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗆 a	pproved b) dis	approved by the Ex	çaminer
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			i Arkii Ar
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			《名》 14、14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	\$1
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in App	lication No	<u> </u>	, ,
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority despoisable application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tional Stage	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•			
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) L				101	٠.
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.	U. 33 IZU and/or	121.	
Attachn		4) [] barrie 0) 412) Dansa Note)		
7.	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTC			
_	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Paten	t Application (P10-152)	$A_{ij} = A_{ij}$	
3) ∐ lr	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			3

Application/Control Number: 09/938,993

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. It should be noted that the preliminary amendment filed on August 24, 2001 could not be entered since claims 19- 28 already existed in the application and are duplicates of those filed in the preamendment.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "in said" is misspelled as "ins aid". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/938,993

Art Unit: 3752

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type 4. double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5, 6, 8, 16, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,315,006. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the language of claims 19 and 20 is encompassed by the limitations set forth in claims 5, 6, 8, 16, and 17 of the patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 5. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure. The patents to Kazmierowicz, Berti, Bonjour, Prestidge, Sr., Botsolas, Nicholson, and Davis disclosing state of the art connections for insulated pipes.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,993

Art Unit: 3752

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Hook whose telephone number is (703) 308-2913.

J. Hook April 7, 2003 James F. Hook
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752